

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

Index No. 22-cv-1104

MANUEL CUZCO on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

NY TEX CARE INC. dba Green White Dry Cleaners and Insun Yun

Defendants,

**COMPLAINT**

**COLLECTIVE ACTION**

Plaintiff, Manuel Cuzco (collectively, "plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, by his attorneys, Ryan Kim, complaining of defendants NY Tex Care Inc. d/b/a Green White Dry Cleaners ("NYTC") and Insun Yun (collectively, "defendants"), alleges:

**NATURE OF ACTION**

1. This action is brought to recover unpaid overtime wages, and other damages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C § 201, et seq. ("FLSA"), and New York Labor Law ("NYLL"), N. Y. Lab. Law § 650 et seq.
2. Plaintiff seeks injunctive and declaratory relief against Defendants' unlawful actions, unpaid overtime wage, liquidated damages, compensatory damages, interest, and attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to the FLSA, the NYLL.
3. Defendants own and operate Green White Dry Cleaners at 47-46B 30<sup>th</sup> Street, Long Island City, NY.
4. Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff and all others similarly situated at the statutorily overtime wage as required by law.

## **JURISDICTION**

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337 and has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims under the NYLL pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

## **VENUE**

6. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, as NY Tex Care Inc. d/b/a Green White Dry Cleaners is incorporated and located in the Eastern District of New York.

### **Plaintiff Manuel Cuzco**

7. Manuel Cuzco ("Cuzco") resides in Queens County, New York.

8. Cuzco was employed as a deliveryman and store clerk by Defendants from July 2017, through September 15, 2021.

9. Cuzco was an employee who engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce and handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person.

### **Green White Dry Cleaners**

10. Defendant NY Tex Care Inc. is a New York Corporation that owns and operates Green White Dry Cleaners in Long Island City, New York.

11. NY Tex Care Inc. is an "enterprise engaged in interstate commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.

12. NY Tex Care Inc. has employees engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce and handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person.

13. NY Tex Care Inc. has an annual gross volume of sales in excess of \$500,000.

**Insun Yun**

14. Defendant Insun Yun ("Yun") is the president and the owner of Green White Dry Cleaners.

15. Insun Yun is sued individually in her capacity as an owner, officer, and/or agent of Green White Dry Cleaners.

16. Insun Yun exercises sufficient control over the company's operations to be considered Plaintiff's employer under the FLSA, New York Labor Law.

17. At all-time material herein, Insun Yun had the authority to hire and fire employees and established and exercised authority regarding the pay practices at NYTC.

18. Yun makes all final decisions about employment practices at NYTC.

19. The defendant Insun Yun managed, supervised, established, and administered the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment through NYTC.

**COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

20. The claims in this Complaint arising out of the FLSA are brought by the Plaintiff on behalf of himself and all similarly situated non-exempt employees (i.e. delivery men, receptions, cashiers, and store clerks) who work or have worked at NYTC within three years of the date of the filing of this action and who elect to opt-in to this action (the "FLSA Collective").

21. The FLSA Collective consists of approximately forty similarly situated current and former non-exempt employees of NYTC who have been victims of defendants' common policy and practices that have violated their rights under the FLSA by, inter alia, willfully denying them overtime pay and other monies.

22. The FLSA Collective consists of employees who, during their employment at NYTC worked as non-exempt employees.

23. As part of their regular business practices, defendants have intentionally, willfully, repeatedly, and in bad faith harmed Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective by engaging in a pattern, practice, and/or policy of violating the FLSA, New York Labor Law. This policy and pattern or practice include, inter alia:

- a. failing to pay employees the statutory minimum wage for all hours worked;
- b. failing to pay employees the proper overtime pay for all hours worked over forty;
- c. failing to pay non-exempt employees one hour's pay at the minimum hourly wage rate for each day during which employees worked more than ten hours;
- d. failing to keep accurate records of hours worked by employees as required by the FLSA and the New York Labor Law.

24. The FLSA Collective would benefit from the issuance of a court-supervised notice of the present lawsuit and the opportunity to join the present lawsuit. Those similarly situated employees are known to defendants, are readily identifiable by defendants and are locatable through defendants' records. These similarly situated employees should be notified of and allowed to opt in to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

#### **PLAINTIFF'S FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

25. Cuzco worked as a deliveryman and store clerk throughout his time employed by defendants.

26. Plaintiff's primary duties were wholesale deliveries, door-to-door deliveries, answering phones, and computer works.

27. Working as a deliveryman and store clerk, Cuzco fell into the category of "non-exempt" employee pursuant to the FLSA.

28. From July 2017 to March 2020, Mr. Cuzco regularly worked six days per week.

29. Plaintiff began his work around 7:30 a.m. and ended at 5:30~6:30 p.sm. for 6 days per week. Sometimes he worked until 7 or 8 p.m. He regularly worked 10-11 hours for 6 days a week and he regularly worked about 60~65 hours per week.

30. Initially, Plaintiff was paid \$650.00 per week without overtime compensation regardless of the hours he worked in a day or a week. His wage was gradually increased and he was paid about \$950.00 in March 2020.

31. From March of 2020 until September 15, 2021, Plaintiff worked the same hours a day for 3 days per week due to pandemic and he was paid about \$475.00 per week.

32. Defendants failed to compensate Cuzco at one and one-half times the statutory minimum wage rate for the hours she worked in excess of forty (40) per workweek.

33. Defendants failed to pay Cuzco spread-of-hours pay when the length of his workday exceeded ten hours.

34. Defendants failed to furnish Cuzco's wage statements with his hours worked and rates of pay at his every payday.

35. Defendants also failed to furnish Cuzco with a wage notice at the time of hiring and whenever his wage rates changed.

**FIRST CLAIM  
(Fair Labor Standards Act - Unpaid Overtime)**

36. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

37. Defendants are required to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective one and one-half (1 ½ ) times the regular rate at which Plaintiff was employed for all hours worked in excess of

forty hours in a workweek pursuant to the overtime wage provisions set forth in the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207, et seq.

38. Defendants have failed to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective the overtime wages to which they are entitled under the FLSA.

39. Defendants have willfully violated the FLSA by knowingly and intentionally failing to pay Plaintiff and FLSA Collective overtime wages.

40. Due to Defendants' violations of the FLSA, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are entitled to recover their unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest.

**SECOND CLAIM  
(New York Labor Law- Unpaid Overtime)**

41. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

42. Under the NYLL and supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations, defendants were required to pay Plaintiff one and one half (1 ½ ) times the regular rate of pay for all hours she worked in excess of forty.

43. Defendants have failed to pay Plaintiff the overtime wages to which they were entitled under the NYLL 132. Defendants have willfully violated the NYLL by knowingly and intentionally failing to pay Plaintiff overtime wages.

44. Due to Defendants' willful violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff is entitled to recover his unpaid overtime wages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, liquidated damages, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest.

**THIRD CLAIM  
(New York Labor Law - Spread-of-Hours Pay)**

45. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all foregoing paragraphs as if set forth herein.

46. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff the additional compensation of one hour's pay at the basic minimum hourly wage rate for each day during which Plaintiff worked more than ten hours.

47. By defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff the spread-of hours pay, defendants willfully violated the NYLL Article 19, §§ 650, et seq., and the supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations, including, but not limited to, 12 N.Y.C.R.R. §§ 137-1.7, 3.10, and 146-1.6.

48. Due to Defendants' willful violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff is entitled to recover an amount prescribed by statute, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, pre-and post-judgment interest, and liquidated damages.

**FOURTH CLAIM  
(New York Labor Law - Wage Theft Prevention Act)**

49. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

50. Defendants failed to furnish to Plaintiff at the time of hiring, whenever there was a change to an employee's rate of pay, and on or before February 1st of each year of employment through 2021, a wage notice containing the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular payday designated by the employer in accordance with NYLL § 191; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; the telephone number of the employer, and anything otherwise required by law; in violation of the NYLL § 195(1).

51. Defendants failed to furnish Plaintiff with each payment of wages an accurate statement listing: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked, and the number of overtime hours worked; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; and net wages; in violation of the NYLL § 195(3).

52. Due to Defendants' violation of NYLL § 195(1), Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the defendants liquidated damages of \$50.00 per day that the violation occurred, up to a maximum of \$5,000, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to the NYLL § 198(1-b).

53. Due to Defendants' violation of the NYLL, § 195(3), Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants liquidated damages of \$250.00 per workweek that the violation occurred, up to a maximum of \$5,000, reasonable attorney's fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to the NYLL § 198(1-b).

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the FLSA Collective, respectfully requests that this Court enter a judgment:

- a) declaring that defendants have violated the overtime wage provisions of the FLSA and the NYLL;
- b) declaring that defendants have violated the spread-of-hours provisions of the NYLL;
- c) declaring that defendants' violations of the FLSA and NYLL were willful;

- d) awarding plaintiff and the FLSA Collective damages for unpaid overtime wages;
- e) awarding Plaintiff damages for unpaid spread-of-hours pay;
- f) awarding plaintiff and the FLSA Collective, liquidated damages as a result of defendants' failure to furnish accurate wage statements and annual notices pursuant to the NYLL;
- g) awarding plaintiff and the FLSA Collective, liquidated damages in an amount equal to the total amount of the wages found to be due, pursuant to the FLSA;
- h) awarding plaintiff and the FLSA Collective, the liquidated damages in an amount equal to the total amount of the wages found to be due, pursuant to the NYLL;
- i) awarding plaintiff and the FLSA Collective, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest under the FLSA and the NYLL;
- j) awarding plaintiff and the FLSA Collective, the reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant the FLSA and the NYLL; and
- k) awarding such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

**JURY TRIAL**

A jury trial is demanded on all Counts.

Dated: March 1, 2022

/s/ Ryan Kim  
Ryan J. Kim

Ryan J. Kim, Esq.  
Ryan Kim Law, P.C.  
222 Bruce Reynolds Blvd. Suite 490  
Fort Lee, NJ 07024  
[ryan@RyanKimLaw.com](mailto:ryan@RyanKimLaw.com)

**NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ENFORCE SHAREHOLDER LIABILITY FOR  
SERVICES RENDERED**

TO: Insun Yun

---

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that pursuant to the provisions of Section 630 of the Business Corporation Law of New York and Section 609 of the Limited Liability Company Law of New York, you are hereby notified that Plaintiff Manuel Cuzco intends to charge you and hold you personally liable, jointly and severally, as one of the ten largest shareholders and/or members of:

NY Tex Care Inc, *d/b/a* Green White Dry Cleaners

for all debts, wages, and/or salaries due and owing to them as laborers, servants, and/or employees of the said corporations for services performed by them for the said corporations within the six (6) years preceding the date of this notice and have expressly authorized the undersigned, as their attorney, to make this demand on his behalf.

Dated: March 1, 2022  
Fort Lee, NJ

Ryan Kim Law, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

/s/ Ryan Kim

---

Ryan Kim  
222 Bruce Reynolds Blvd. Suite 490  
Fort Lee NJ 07024  
Tel: (718) 573-1111  
Email: ryan@ryankimlaw.com

**DEMAND BY EMPLOYEES TO INSPECT SHARE RECORDS AND MINUTES  
PURSUANT TO SECTION 624 OF THE NEW YORK STATE BUSINESS  
CORPORATION LAW**

TO:

NY Tex Care Inc, *d/b/a* Green White Dry Cleaners

---

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE**, that Plaintiff MANUEL CUZCO as an employee of the above corporations who intend to demand, pursuant to the provisions of Section 630 of the Business Corporation Law of New York, and Section 609 of the Limited Liability Company Law of New York, payment of debts, wages and/or salaries due and owing to them as laborers, servants and/or employees of the above corporations for services performed by them for the above corporations within the six (6) years preceding the date of this notice from the ten largest shareholders of the above corporations, and who have expressly authorized the undersigned, as their attorney, to make this demand on their behalf.

**PLAINTIFF HEREBY DEMANDS** the right to examine, in person or by agent or attorney, during usual business hours, the minutes of the proceedings of the shareholders and records of shareholders of the above corporations and to make extracts therefrom on or after five (5) days from receipt of this notice.

Dated: March 1, 2022  
Fort Lee, NJ

Ryan Kim Law, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

/s/ Ryan Kim

Ryan Kim  
222 Bruce Reynolds Blvd. Suite 490  
Fort Lee NJ 07024  
Tel: (718) 573-1111  
Email: ryan@ryankimlaw.com

**DOCUMENT PRESERVATION DEMAND**

Plaintiff(s) hereby demands that defendant take affirmative steps to preserve all recordings, data, documents, and all other tangible things that relate to plaintiff, the events described herein, any third party associated with any telephone call, campaign, account, sale, or file associated with plaintiff, and any account or number or symbol relating to them. These materials are likely very relevant to the litigation of this claim. If defendant is aware of any third party that has possession, custody, or control of any such materials, plaintiff demands that defendant request that such third party also take steps to preserve the materials. This demand shall not narrow the scope of any independent document preservation duties of the defendant.

*/s/ Ryan Kim* \_\_\_\_\_

Ryan Kim  
222 Bruce Reynolds Blvd. Suite 490  
Fort Lee NJ 07024  
Tel: (718) 573-1111  
Email: ryan@ryankimlaw.com

**NOTICE OF LIEN AND ASSIGNMENT**

Please be advised that we claim a lien upon any recovery herein" for ONE THIRD (1/3) or such amount as a court award. All rights relating to attorney's fees have been assigned to counsel.

*/s/ Ryan Kim*

Ryan Kim  
222 Bruce Reynolds Blvd. Suite 490  
Fort Lee NJ 07024  
Tel: (718) 573-1111  
Email: ryan@ryankimlaw.com



Under the FLSA and NYLL, taking adverse actions (firing and demoting, stalking and harassing) against Plaintiff(s) in retaliation for having brought this Complaint is ILLEGAL and PUNISHABLE BY LAW.

**Consult your attorney**

**Settlement under the table is  
prohibited by the law.**



**Once a wage-and-hour case is filed in the Federal District Court, any settlement must be reviewed by the Court and approved as fair and reasonable by the Judge under *Cheeks v. Pancake House, Inc.***

**Consult your attorney.**